

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 LUCIA RAMOS-QUIRARTE,
9 Plaintiff(s),
10 v.
11 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,
12 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:23-cv-01778-RFB-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 53]

13 Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to excuse settlement conference
14 attendance for all individually-named defendants. Docket No. 53. In light of the earlier stipulation
15 on this issue, Docket No. 51, the Court assumes the motion will be unopposed. For good cause
16 shown, the individually-named defendants are not required to participate in the entirety of the
17 settlement conference.¹ However, Defendant Omandac must be available by telephone throughout
18 the settlement conference. Accordingly, the motion to excuse attendance is GRANTED subject to
19 that caveat.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: April 16, 2025

22
23 
24 _____
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

25
26 _____
27 ¹ To be clear, the Court is not persuaded by the contention that the Court cannot readily
28 evaluate how a witness will present at trial during settlement conferences conducted remotely.
Docket No. 53 at 3. In the circumstances of this case, however, the Court will nonetheless excuse
attendance.